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Abstract
Leadership succession is a significant challenge for all family businesses. Despite this many family businesses do not have clear plans nor systematic processes for implementation trans-generational change (Fang et al, 2015). Family business owners beliefs that succession is natural process and successors became leaders naturally. If that was it, then more than 30% would survive the first generation, around 15% would survive to the third generation, and more than 3% would survive to the fourth generation (Vera and Dean, 2005). Although much research has been dedicated to family business succession and preparing successors there is still no in depth research showing the path needed to cross from successor to a true leader in family firm. The idea that a family business succession can have an impact on the financial structure and performance of a firm should be no surprise given that a business transfer is one of the most important and critical events in the life cycle of any family firm. Moreover, successions will gradually gain more importance in the next coming years because of the retirement of a substantial amount of business leaders. It is therefore important to study business transfers, as doing so can lead to more insights into best practices regarding how to carry out a succession and on the way in which the business is expected to change because of the transition event. Martin and Lumpkin
(2004) find that in successive generations entrepreneurial orientation tends to diminish and give way to family orientation, as stability and inheritance concerns become the business's principal drivers. Central in the succession process is that the management of the family business end up in the hands of a competent and well-motivated successor. But there is still not clear what are what does it mean for successor and family business. We know that succession process has the potential to disrupt and even to destroy successful businesses, irrespective of their financial or market power (Bozer, Levin, Santora, 2017) but in some cases a succession, particularly when an successor is involved, can lead a family business to new markets, new ways of acting and thinking (Ward, 1987; Ibrahim et al., 2001; Menendez-Requejo, 2005; Graves and Thomas, 2008). Assuming the topic of succession is one of the most critical challenges in the family business literature, this paper attempts to address the factors that act as driving forces for the successor to become a leader of international family businesses.
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INTRODUCTION

Leadership succession is a significant challenge for all family businesses. Despite this many family businesses do not have clear plans nor systematic processes for implementation trans-generational change (Fang et al, 2015). Family business owners believes that succession is natural process and successors became leaders naturally. If that was it, then more than 30% would survive the first generation, around 15% would survive to the third generation, and more than 3% would survive to the fourth generation (Vera, Dean; 2005). Although much research has been dedicated to family business succession and preparing successors there is still no in depth research showing the path needed to cross from successor to a true leader in family firm. The idea that a family business succession can have an impact on the financial structure and performance of a firm should be no surprise given that a business transfer is one of the most important and critical events in the life cycle of any family firm. Moreover, successions will gradually gain more importance in the next coming years because of the retirement of a substantial amount of business leaders. It is therefore important to study business transfers, as doing so can lead to more insights into best practices regarding how to carry out a succession and on the way in which the business is expected to change because of the transition event. Martin and Lumpkin (2004) find that in successive generations entrepreneurial orientation tends to diminish and give way to family orientation, as stability and inheritance concerns become the business's principal drivers. Central in the succession process is that the management of the family business end up in the hands of a competent and well-motivated successor. But there is still not clear what are what does it mean for successor and family business. We know that succession process has the potential to disrupt and even to destroy successful businesses, irrespective of their financial or market power (Bozer, Levin, Santora, 2017) but in some cases a succession, particularly when an successor is involved, can lead a family business to new markets, new ways of acting and
thinking (Ibrahim et al., 2001; Menendez-Requejo, 2005; Graves, Thomas, 2008). Assuming the topic of succession is one of the most critical challenges in the family business literature, this paper attempts to address the factors that act as driving forces for the successor to become a leader of international family businesses. Thus, author is analyzing the following research questions:

Q1: What are the factors that facilitate or inhibit the process of becoming the leader for international family business?

Q2: What are the major characteristics that the successor to become the leader of international family business?

Succession planning has scope for the personal approach especially exploring people’s stories and narratives and case histories. Working with individuals or small groups in a case study is the type of research needed in this area.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Author selected agency and stewardship theory as the theoretical framework. The conceptual domain of agency theory is one of the dominant organisational theory perspectives applied in current family business research (Chrisman, Kellermanns, Chan, & Liang, 2010). According to agency theory (Jensen, Meckling, 1976), agency costs generally arise due to individuals’ self-interest and decision making based on rational thinking and oriented toward own preferences. With more people involved in decision-making, such as through the separation of ownership and management, agency costs occur due to different preferences and information asymmetries between the owner (principal) and the employed management (agent) (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). In other words, agents take decisions based on their individual preferences (e.g., short-term, financial gains) instead of the owners’ preferences (e.g., long-term, sustainable development). For this research, the principal-principal approach was used. This constellation also raises problems determining who is responsible and has the power to control and make decisions (Morck, Yeung, 2003). In family firms, this situation can additionally be complicated by the emotional and relational attitudes of the involved family members (Gómez-Mejía, Haynes, Núñez-Nickel, Jacobson, & Moyano-Fuentes, 2007; Schulze et al., 2003), which can eventually lead to a suboptimal economic outcome overall (Shukla et al., 2014). To delimit agency theory from other theoretical approaches, an often opposed and more collectivistic theory from the economic literature is stewardship theory (Davis, Schoorman, & Donaldson, 1997). The stewardship perspective addresses the behaviour of controlling family firm owners that behave as far seeing stewards and are guided by superior organisational goals (Sharma, 2004). Several authors discuss the applicability of agency theory in comparison to stewardship theory in family firms and argue that both theories contribute important insights to the knowledge about family firms (Chrisman, Chua, Kellermanns, & Chang, 2007; Corbetta, Salvato, 2004; Eddleston, Kellermanns, 2007; Kraus, Märk, & Peters, 2011; Le Breton-Miller, Miller, & Lester, 2011). Stewardship theory states that the agents (“stewards”) behave socially, in a self-actualizing manner and with an attitude postulating psychological ownership (Pierce et al., 2001). It recognizes that many family leaders are loyal stewards of their firms, contributing to firm performance through citizenship behaviors (Drakopoulou, Dodd, Dyck, 2015). Banalieva and Eddleston
Alicja Hadryś-Nowak (2011) believe that kinship, a shared family name, and common history promote a shared identity that allows family leaders to build an enduring reputation and social capital that can be passed from one generation to the next. Presented case study will show that at the beginning of their career path, successors may act as an agent in family business. However, to become true leaders transformation to “stewards” is needed. Author will try to capture factors needed to this passage from agent to steward.

SUCCESSION

Succession is a key determinant of generational continuity. However, succession is not just a step of passing the baton, but instead it is a process that develops over several stages that evolve over time and, in some cases, begin even before the successor enters the business (Handler, 1994). Given the importance of continuity in the family business, the succession process has drawn the attention of researchers who have tried to identify those variables driving an effective succession. It has been predominantly studied through the lens of single organizational source, such as incumbents, successors, and nonfamily employees (Decker et al., 2017). The succession process encompasses a number of factors which are usually associated with both the predecessor and successor. Among these factors, quality, harmony of family relations, organisational culture and succession planning have been emphasized in relevant literature. After a qualitative study with 32 family businesses, Handler (1994) found that mutual respect and a common vision between the founder and successor are very important components of an effective succession. Several authors also stress the importance of personal and professional realisation of family members (Dunn, 1995). Existing research on the impact of a succession on the performance of a family firm is still inconclusive. Some authors argue, that performance is lower of next-generation family firms, others come to opposite conclusions. Moving from one generation to another, means goal change, which can result in stagnation. First generation family firms are more business oriented than are later generation firms, which are more family oriented, and firms with a business orientation have a higher capacity to grow (Cromie, Stevenson, & Monteith, 1995; Dunn, 1995; Reid et al., 1999). Similarly, Martin and Lumpkin (2004) find that in successive generations entrepreneurial orientation tends to diminish and give way to family orientation, as stability and inheritance concerns become the business’s principal drivers. Davis and Harvenston (1998, 1999) further show that the “generational shadow” cast by the founder is much greater than the generational shadow cast by subsequent generations. They state that the transition between the founder and the second generation can often be seen as the most difficult and turbulent one. Lately, business literature has increased its interest in the way of top managers play an essential role in shaping organizational outcomes (Carpenter, Geletkanycz, & Sanders, 2004; Hambrick & Mason, 1984; Loane, Bell, & McNaughton, 2007). According to Hambrick (2007) the best way to understand why organizations do and/or perform the things they do, it is fundamental to consider the biases and dispositions of their most powerful actors – their top executives. The base of these assumptions is on the upper echelons theory proposed by Hambrick and Mason (1984). It is based on the idea that managerial characteristics can be a useful measure to predict organizational outcomes. This theory argues that executives act on the basis of their personalized interpretations of the strategic situations they face, influenced by their cognitive base and their values. It indicates a
person’s values, skills, knowledge base and information processing abilities influences the decision-making process (Hambrick, 2007). Overall, based on the above literature, the negative effect of succession on firm performance is expected to occur unless the successor is a true family business leader not only appointed manager.

Successors strongly supported the notion that early exposure to the family business had a positive effect on their commitment to adopt a leadership role (e.g. Klein et al., 2005). Internal exposure was a greater benefit for them than working outside the family business, because it facilitated idiosyncratic family-business knowledge transfer. All successors highlighted higher education as potentially beneficial to succession, especially if that education was relevant to the business (see Morris et al., 1997). Both successors and incumbents acknowledged that established protocols, formalized structures, and family culture helped nurture a successful succession (e.g. Cabrera-Suárez et al., 2001). Successors also noted the importance of an accepting, open, transparent communication structure between the incumbent and themselves. However, successor and incumbent perceptions of the value of consistent, formalized structures differed significantly. Successors viewed these characteristics as potential barriers to establishing a leadership style and culture and a hurdle for a successful succession. Additionally, unlike incumbents, who viewed nonfamily members’ influence as a possible dilution of the FBS characteristics (Ensley, Pearson, 2005), successors placed importance on the influence of nonfamily employees in the succession process and viewed their contributions as making a positive impact on their succession.

THE INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT

As this study was conducted in Poland, some specificities of this institutional context need to be pointed out. The Polish context is very interesting because with the collapse of the old regime in 1989, the outburst of entrepreneurship resulted in the creation of numerous family businesses which became the backbone of the blossoming free-market economy (Bednarz, Bieliński, Nikodemska – Wołowik, & Otukoya, 2017; Campbell, Jerzemowska, 2017). In 1989 the system changed and private business became legal which resulted in setting up private enterprises at a massive scale. It was the period when many family-owned businesses came into being. A vast majority is still operating and in good condition. Within the framework of these studies we have checked the distribution of family-owned businesses in Poland. The majority of them are the micro firms which have been operating locally for some 10-20 years, whose owner is a man, and which have no separate management board within their organizational structure. These firms operate in the wholesale and retail sector as well as in the industry (“Family Business is a brand”, IBR 2017). Ownership in Poland plays a special role in business, and it can be a factor stimulating the internationalization of firms (Wach, 2017). In family businesses the family ownership plays a crucial role.

Most of Polish family businesses are still in the first generation phase, so called ‘founder stage’. The first succession process is happening and there is no tradition for family business succession.

METHOD
In line with recent calls for more qualitative, explorative research on business development processes (Doern, 2009; Davidsson, Achtenhagen & Naldi, 2010), a qualitative method was chosen for this study. The data was generated through semi-structured, open-ended interview with successor, CEO of medium family business (second generation). Interview lasted over 3 hours. Interview was more as a story told by the successor supplemented by answers to additional questions made by the author. Instead of undertaking the interviews, the author gathered non-participant observations and archival documents, such as: contracts, websites, protocols, strategy book, ISO handbook, CSR Report etc. The author assisted during family and business meetings (ex. in Ślesin, Poland, 2018-03-06).

RESULTS

The company: HORTIMEX PLUS Sp. z o.o. Spółka Komandytowa
Founder: Tomasz Kowalewski (Father), owns 65% of the company
Successor, current CEO: Mateusz Kowalewski, owns 35% of the company

Nowadays, Hortimex is a specialised company that is a platform for the exchange of goods, know-how, and experience between the worldwide producers of food ingredients and the Polish ones. For about 30 years they have been providing food producers in Poland with technological consultancy in the creation of new food products and the selection of the finest ingredients and the best solutions. Hortimex is a family company. That is why they rely on trustworthy business relationships, which are beneficial for every party to a deal. They help producers of food ingredients by:
- assistance in entering the Polish market,
- sales & distribution of food ingredients to food producers in the whole country,
- development & improvement of business relationships with producers.

Hortimex also help food producers by:
- consultancy in developing unique and appealing recipes for food products
- search for desirable ingredients among products offered by foreign producers
- supply of tested, natural, and appealing food ingredients to food production facilities

Hortimex’s customers value them most for:
1. Effectiveness. Thanks to their experience they have been gaining for almost 30 years they know how to effectively convince food producers in Poland to try and use new ingredients.
2. Flexibility. Each of their customers can be sure that they efficiently tailor their services to individual preferences, plans, and expectations. Flexibility is their middle name.
3. Promptness. In times of intense competition it is essential to carry out a project promptly. They know that. That is why they act skilfully and timely.

Mission of the company:

„We rely on the education of the food production market and professional consultancy in it. We believe that together we will be able to improve the quality of the Polish food production market as well as to create a friendly, healthy, and cost-effective market. In fact, we are all consumers“.
“We would like to see better and better products on the shop shelves – more delicious, more aromatic, and more healthy and functional. Therefore, we help Polish companies introduce new food products to the market and worldwide producers of food ingredients present their semi-finished products to Polish producers”.

How the story begins...

“Hortimex is a family business managed by the second generation of owners. Founded in 1988 by my parents, Lucyna and Tomasz Kowalewski, for many years it was built and managed in the spirit of broadly understood responsibility. Both, me and my father who managed the company were very serious to liabilities to contractors, employees, local community and other stakeholders. The years 2009 - 2013 are the period of succession in company management. We worked it out then and we implemented the management system strategic and first structured strategy for the company, which we called “Hortimex 2015”. In addition to obvious business activities it assumed continuation and strengthening values that helped in building enterprise development”.

Table 1. Scale of activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover (netto, PLN)</td>
<td>46 645 040,32</td>
<td>61 563 795,08</td>
<td>62 747 612,28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalization from a perspective own contribution (%)</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>2,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>14 206 197,69</td>
<td>16 309 660,59</td>
<td>16 767 725,87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherholders</td>
<td>Tomasz J. Kowalewski, Mateusz Kowalewski, Spółka Plus</td>
<td>Tomasz J. Kowalewski, Mateusz Kowalewski, Spółka Plus</td>
<td>Tomasz J. Kowalewski, Mateusz Kowalewski, Spółka Plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>1 204 898,13</td>
<td>2 484 487,00</td>
<td>2 726 732,53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries</td>
<td>Austria, Belgium, China, France, Spain, the Netherlands, India, Ireland, Canada, Lithuania, Germany, Norway, Poland, Turkey, Hungary, Great Britain, Italy</td>
<td>Austria, Belgium, China, France, Spain, the Netherlands, India, Ireland, Canada, Lithuania, Germany, Norway, Poland, Turkey, Hungary, Great Britain, Italy</td>
<td>Austria, Belgium, China, France, Spain, the Netherlands, India, Ireland, Canada, Lithuania, Germany, Norway, Poland, United States United States, Turkey, Hungary, Great Britain, Italy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own study.

VALUES & HR POLICY

The Hortimex team is small and quite well integrated. The team composition is shaped primarily on the participants’ compliance with the company’s culture. Competences, however very important, they are not the only one, but one of the employee evaluation crite-
Managers are significantly involved in the communication process and shaping attitudes. Therefore, we decided that it is not there the need to create additional structures or channels of communication, dedicated to the responsible person proceedings. Rules of conduct are shaped by Quality Policy, management areas policies and individual procedures (under the ISO 9001: 2008 system). The owners decided to open debate about values and attitudes. During several workshops in which the whole company was involved, they selected five essential values that recognized the most important. They are: responsibility, openness, respect, honesty and trust. As far as they anticipate the possibility expanding or modifying it. Reported by the team as important, it became the subject of a workshop, which took place in October 2016. As a consequence, it was formulated document “Rules of giving and receiving gifts in business relationships.”

“If we want to answer what decided our position, I think that it is a mix of many factors. However, I have the certainty that it is decisive that we do a lot of things differently” (employee statement).

“What is the key in our activities? Why is it worth working together and relations with our partners and recipients are essential for us? Is Hortimex just a company or something more? I chose nine features and values that are fundamental to us. They represent development directions and areas that we care about especially. They are an internal code of conduct. However, it is not a secret what drives us to the first element” (Mateusz about values)

Clarity
“Our actions, both for our recipients and partners (suppliers), have clearly defined rules. We run an open policy with companies that cooperate with us. It helps in building trust and free relationships. These in turn help to resolve contentious issues that may arise in a way that does not leave any of the parties with a sense of loss. We communicate the terms and conditions in a clear manner. At the time of any problems, we always strive for dialogue and solution. Transparency gives you clear rules on which we will work and is a clear point in defining our common goals”.

Responsibility.
“We understand it not only in the context of social responsibility so popular for several years. Despite the implementation of the CSR strategy, the responsibility in our understanding is much more. For years, Hortimex has been providing information on nutrition as well as food additives and ingredients. It is extremely important for us that we provide data from independent organizations, not just our opinions. Our publications include guidelines of the European Food Safety Authority. We also work with universities in Poland and work for the benefit of consumers’ awareness”.

Punctuality.
Fast, cheap, good. “We know that it is not possible to fulfill all three obligations. In our business, we always try to fulfill our obligations well. We also define the deadline for implementation. This does not only apply to the logistics of the products supplied, but also to information, trials and joint work on recipes. For us, this is an extremely important element. By combining product design, work on prototypes, we often involve
several partners and key service providers. Specifying deadlines is a priority. Timeliness is a plan that we always design accordingly for projects and commitments”.

Thanks to the knowledge of technologists, partners and cooperation with scientific and research institutions, proposing solutions is core of Hortimex work. Hortimex attach great importance to provide information. The new proposals are always the most important element for customers. Hortimex want to show not just products or solutions, but what one can achieve and how to achieve this. Technology has been the basis of Hortimex activity for many years. “We have now expanded our consultancy to the whole range of food production”. Aspects related to production technology are currently only a part of Hortimex offer. A secure supply chain is their key competence. It does not apply only to the shipment and delivery of goods, but also to secure storage. The timeliness of our deliveries is high. “We obtained this by clearly defining the procedures related to shipping and accepting the goods. The principle is one, we do not promise until we are not sure”.

**Effectiveness**

The goal of all obligations and relationships with partners is to effectively implement their value propositions. Hortimex partners are producers of ingredients and food additives. On the basis of products, they propose solutions that can be of considerable value to customers. Hortimex help meet partners’ goals. Provide customers in Poland with continuous access to new solutions.

**Flexibility**

Hortimex offer addressed to partners and recipients is flexible. Hortimex operates in a certain framework, therefore the offer has border points. However, we do not have a template according to which. Each of the 18 partners requires a slightly different approach.

**Speed**

The implementations must be characterized by appropriate dynamics. Hortimex establish cooperation with new partners at a specific time. This requires proper concentration and intensification of activities. For recipients, this means that the proposals Hortimex present will be implemented in the short term.

“Nine features and values define a certain framework. Is this a description of Hortimex? No, it’s just part of what our company is. It is impossible to describe the emotions and satisfaction that appear in our work.” (Successors statement).

**CLIENTS**

The two most important stakeholder groups Hortimex are ingredients producers usually located outside Poland, and food producers located in Poland. For producers of food ingredients Hortimex is a channel to reach clients in Poland. Companies that decide for exclusive, long-term cooperation they can count on focus on their business goals, professional service and most importantly - full service transparency. It gives you a sense of control on the processes of product implementation on Polish market. Regular reporting, joint visits at the clients, current information exchange and understanding cultural differences between
Poland and partner’s country of origin build long-term relationships and contribute for business development. “We are loyally fulfilling your duties, expecting in return the same”.

WHAT CUSTOMERS SAY ABOUT HORTIMEX?

Jacques Maman, Marketing Manager, Tan Nisasta

“There are three key points we are experiencing a perfect business experience cooperation at all its levels. We meet at the company's headquarters in Konin, and we also visit clients throughout Poland with the Hortimex team. The sales results are huge, we have increased our market share in Poland more than 60%. When we opened our other factory, Omnia Nisasta, we decided that Hortimex will also be distributed the products of this company”.

SUCCESSOR

Mateusz’s journey in family business started in 1994 when he stopped his studies because he realized that the one he had chosen was not suitable for him. His father, Tomasz, said that if he did not want to learn he must start to work. Mateusz did not know what he wanted to do in his life so the family business was a kind of natural choice, just for the beginning of his professional life. He started with simple things. Because Mateusz was the only one who spoke English, he started to use “yellow pages” to gain new contacts and potential clients.

“That time it was easy… I just put our contact details on the web site and in few days someone always contacted us. Now the competition is much bigger” Mateusz says.

In 1996, Mateusz started to work as sales representative. In 90’s, there was a big demand for Hortimex’s products (mainly food additives) Mateusz results were very impressive. In 2001, his father, appointed him to be a Sales Director. He realized that sales and purchasing departments were completely unorganized and not integrated, he started to introduce changes to optimize work of this two departments. He created so called “product teams” where two employees, one from sales and other from purchasing, started to work together. He thought that he was responsible for some aspects of family business but in fact his father still decided about everything. To gain some power and respect Mateusz started to behave like his father: “…I was autocratic and I had impersonal approach…”. Between 2006 and 2009, Mateusz did well, but from the time perspective, he said that he was more like an administrator of the company than a manager. Year 2009 was very difficult for the family, because Mateusz’s mother died. His father got ill. He was forced to take the position of CEO of the company. Mateusz realized that “…I had no vision what to do next…”. Hortimex was in stable position but the problem was that from few years they had no new clients, no new suppliers or partners and the turnover was not improving. Mateusz felt that to grow the company need to change. He started to look for the inspiration. He started to read business books, attend business meetings etc. After years he admitted that he really regret that he stopped studies: “I felt as I opened the open door… It was frustrating…” On one of business meetings, as an exercise, he was asked to write a letter for himself from the future. He left the meeting with empty page... From
one side it was disaster but from the other: “…It was like a discovery…” Mateusz said. Few days later he sated in his office in front of this white page and he thought that maybe he will ask the employees to do the same but according to Hortimex? This was the beginning of his big mental change from autocratic manager to transformational leader. In 2011 he asked external business advisors for help in formulating business strategy. Those advisors asked “uncomforable” questions that forced Mateusz and his team to change the way they think about Hortimex. In few months they created “Hortimex 2015 Strategy”. Mateusz calls it: “a vision for a succession time...”. They transformed business from a wholesaler of food additives to the platform of exchange the knowledge between suppliers of additives and food producers. Meanwhile there was a conflict between Mateusz’s father and his wife. Firstly Mateusz tried to be as a mediator. But the conflict has intensified. Mateusz decided to quit family business. It was the first time in his life that he defied his father. But with help of the external mediator they have resolved the conflict. Going back to business, in years 2010-2018, Hortimex, doubled its partners. In 2012 they introduced several corporate governance mechanism and tools, that Mateusz’s father could withdraw from the business without feeling lost. Mateusz is proud of what happened, but he is most happy that employees are satisfied and motivated.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

With the study, author aim to contribute to a better understanding of factors connected with successor’s passage from manager to leader in international family business. This endeavor has practical relevance, as many family businesses never embark succession process, and one possible reason is that many family firms do not manage to overcome the challenges of succession. Some practical implications can be derived from the results. Firstly, the successors need to feel responsibility for the family. Secondly, he/she should has the deciding power in some part of the business. As case study shows, conflicts and interactions between family members, when well managed, might be source of new ideas and solutions. Next to qualifications and constant learning and improving skills, there is also a need for being open minded and ready to change.

CONCLUSION

The aim of the study was to analyze the factors that act as driving forces for the successor to become a leader of international family businesses. Basis on Mateusz example the following characteristics are considered important for successor: integrity, commitment to the family and family business, ability to command the respect of the personnel, decisiveness and interpersonal skills and of course some luck. Firstly, there are the leadership qualities, which every manager must have, whereby it is important that the successor be a visionary entrepreneur. Secondly come management skills. However, even more is expected from the future leaders of family businesses. Thirdly, they must demonstrate commitment and respect for the family. Just as important as the competence is the motivation of the successor. Successions work out a great deal better when the candidate-successor has a strong desire to lead the family business and also finds this a fascinating challenge. Moreover, the successor must have had the freedom to choose to
join the family business. Once officially designated as successor, the representative of the next generation is confronted with a new challenge. He must prove himself as the new leader. This does not always go smoothly, because the successor generally finds himself in the phase of succession where he shares the management of the family business with the incumbent leader. The great challenge for the successor is to strike a proper balance between continuity of the management on the one hand and innovation/change on the other. Such change obviously entails a risk of conflicts with the incumbent leader. Some people believe that crises are unavoidable. Yet only a minority of successors achieve credibility by resolutely innovating. Frequently it is more successful not to make all-too sudden changes, but to introduce innovations around an axis of continuity. In this way, the family network - which is based on trust - remains intact, and the successor will also run into less resistance from the incumbent leader. Mateusz admitted that in his path to leadership, above mentioned, there were some important things. Firstly he always felt responsible for the family, especially his wife and children. Secondly, unfortunately but this is true, his mother death was very important to understand this responsibility. Thirdly, when he acted against his father, choosing his wife. This gave him a lot of self-confidence and feeling that he is able to manage things on his own. Mateusz also thinks that this was also a signal for his father to see a leader in him. Last but not least, the openness for external help and support.

LIMITATIONS

A limitation of this study could be seen in its single-country focus on Poland. Also, one could argue that the single-case is a limitation of this study. While this leads to a lack of generalizability of findings in statistical sense, the qualitative approach chosen allowed to explore in depth the transition from being manager to leader. The research highlights the important role of family and business dynamics in this transition from „agent“ to „steward“. Yet, further research is needed to test the findings for larger samples, possibly in relation to different contingency factors.
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